



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,047	08/08/2006	Jean-Francois Estur	1022702-000153	6151
21839	7590	05/15/2008		
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC				EXAMINER
POST OFFICE BOX 1404				WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,047	ESTUR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

JEFFREY WOLLSCHLAGER

1791

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Jeff Wollschlager.

(3) _____.

(2) Martin Bruehs.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 May 2008**Time:** 7:00 pm phone message from Mr. Bruehs**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

34 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeff Wollschlager/
 Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 34 and 35 of the application are presented as process claims yet they depend from product claims. Applicant's representative, Mr. Bruehs, confirmed the claims are intended to be product claims. The examiner will treat the claims as product claims in the first office action on the merits and Mr. Bruehs will correct the claims in any response to the office action.